



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/661,964	09/12/2003	Eldon L. Decker	1886A1	8568

7590

06/03/2005

Diane R. Meyers
PPG Industries, Inc.
One PPG Place
Pittsburgh, PA 15272

EXAMINER

AHMED, SHEEBA

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1773

DATE MAILED: 06/03/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/661,964	Applicant(s) DECKER ET AL.	
	Examiner Sheeba Ahmed	Art Unit 1773	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ____ MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 March 2005.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) ____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1,2,6-8,11,13 and 14 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 3-5,9,10 and 12 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) ____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ____. |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date ____. | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: ____. |

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. No amendments have been made in the response submitted on March 14, 2005.

Claims 1-14 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1, 2, 6-8, 11, 13, and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hayes et al. (US 4,472,479).

Hayes et al. disclose fluorescent printing ribbons, which have a reflective pigment therein (Column 1, lines 11-15). To prepare the ribbon, layer of wax or other suitable medium and fluorescent material is deposited on the ribbon base, which may be a thin film of plastic, and a reflective barrier material is added to this layer or deposited in a second layer of wax upon the first layer. The barrier pigments used are pigments of finely divided metals or materials with metallic colors which are reflective and do not shift the wavelength of the fluorescent light (Column 2, lines 1-4). The pigments are finely divided pigments of reflective material which do not shift the wavelength of fluorescent light and examples include mica platelets coated with titanium oxide. The fluorescent material is a mixture of fluorescent dyes suspended in a polyester resin

Art Unit: 1773

(Column 3, lines 40-55). With regards to the limitations of claims 6 and 7, the Examiner takes the position that the phrase "are produced by milling organic pigments with milling media having a particle size less than about 0.3", simply recites a process limitation and the resulting product is identical to one disclosed by Hayes et al. Furthermore, the Examiner takes the position that the ribbon taught by Hayes et al. inherently meets the limitation that said coating composition exhibits a first appearance on face dominated by absorbance of light by said colorants and a second appearance on flop dominated by fluorescence of the colorants given that the structure of the ribbon and the colorants and reflective pigments taught by Hayes et al. and the structure of the instantly claimed invention and the colorants and reflective pigments therein is identical. All limitations of claims 1, 2, 6-8, 11, 13, and 14 are disclosed in the above reference.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed on March 14, 2005 regarding the rejection of claims 1, 2, 6-8, 11, 13, and 14 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hayes et al. (US 4,472,479) have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicants traverse the above rejection and submit that the structure taught by Hayes et al. does not meet the limitation that said coating composition exhibits a first appearance on face dominated by absorbance of light by said colorants and a second appearance on flop dominated by fluorescence of the colorants. However, the Examiner has taken the position that such a limitation is met by the structure taught by Hayes et al. given that the structure of the ribbon and the colorants and reflective pigments taught by Hayes et

al. and the structure of the instantly claimed invention and the colorants and reflective pigments therein is identical.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 3-5, 9, 10, and 12 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.


6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sheeba Ahmed whose telephone number is (571)272-

Art Unit: 1773

1504. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays and Thursdays from 9:30am to 6:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carol Chaney can be reached on (571)272-1284. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).



Sheeba Ahmed
Art Unit 1773
May 26, 2005